## Content analysis of business communication: Introducing a German dictionary

# Online-Appendix

CHRISTINA BANNIER<sup>a</sup>

THOMAS PAULS<sup>b</sup>

ANDREAS WALTER<sup>c</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Chair of Banking & Finance, University of Giessen, Licher Str. 62, 35394 Giessen, Germany. Christina.Bannier@wirtschaft.uni-giessen.de.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> House of Finance, Goethe University Frankfurt, Theodor-W.-Adorno-Platz 3, 60323 Frankfurt am Main, Germany. Pauls@finance.uni-frankfurt.de.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Chair of Financial Services, University of Giessen, Licher Str. 74, 35394 Giessen, Germany. Andreas.Walter@wirtschaft.uni-giessen.de.

This table extends the overview of content-analysis studies from Kearney and Liu (2014).							
Research	Narrative	Time Language	Content analysis	Measure	Key Findings		
			methodology				
Boukus and Rosen-	Federal Open Mar-	1987- English	Topic Modelling	Topics	The authors identify the topics of Federal Open Market		
berg (2006)	ket Committee	2005	(LSA)		Committee minutes and find those topics to be correlated		
	minutes				with current and future economic conditions.		
Cicon et al. (2012)	Corporate Govern-	1998- English	Topic Modelling	Topics	The authors identify the topics of the corporate govern-		
	ance Codes	2007	(LSA)		ance codes of 23 EU nations and find new insights regard-		
				a 1	ing their thematic content, variability, and convergence.		
Larcker and Zakoly-	Earnings conference	2003- English	Dictionary-based	Several	The authors show that the textual sentiment is indicative		
ukina $(2012)$	calls	2007	(LIWC)		of financial misreporting.		
Ammann et al. $(2014)$	Newspaper articles	1989- German	word-count index	-	Using word count indices, the authors find that newspaper		
(2014)		2011			articles provide valuable information for predicting future		
Bao and Datta	Annual reports (10-	2006- English	Topic Modelling	Topics	Introducing I DA learning methods into the field of finan-		
(2014)	K)	2000- English 2010	(IDA)	Topics	cial accounting the authors measure distinct risk types in		
(2014)	ix)	2010			annual reports		
Buehlmaier and	Annual reports (10-	1994- English	Machine-Learning	-	The authors build measure of financial constraints from		
Whited (2014)	K)	2010	(Naïve Bayes)		the tone of annual reports and find more financially con-		
,	,				strained firms to have higher stock returns.		
Chen et al. (2014)	Online comments	2005- English	Dictionary-based	Negativity	The authors measure the textual sentiment of online com-		
		2012	(LM)		mentaries and it to predict future stock returns and earn-		
					ings surprises.		
Tirunillai and Tellis	Costumer reviews	2005- English	Topic Modelling	Topics	The authors find customer reviews to be rich in marketing		
(2014)		2009	(LDA)		meaning which can be gauged by LDA.		
Allee and Deangelis	Earnings conference	2004- English	Dictionary-based	Positivity	Tone dispersion within earnings conference calls is asso-		
(2015)	calls	2014	(LM)	Negativity	ciated with current performance and future performance,		
					managers' financial re-porting decisions, and managers'		
				<b>D</b> 1	incentives and actions to manage perceptions.		
Arslan-Ayaydın et	Earnings press re-	2004- English	Dictionary-based	Rel. positivity	Managers inflate the positive tone of earnings press re-		
al. (2015)	leases	2012	(HENRY)		leases when the managerial portfolio value is more		
Device at $a1 (2015)$	Earnings conformas	2002 English	Distignary haged	Dal magitivity	closely fied to the firm's stock price.		
Davis et al. (2015)	colle	2002- Eligiisii 2000	DICTION HENDY I M	Ref. positivity	manager specific tandongies to be optimistic or passimis		
	cans	2007	(DICTION, HENRI, LWI)		tic		
					uc.		

Gamache et al. (2015) Giorgi and Weber (2015)	Annual reports (Let- ters to shareholders) Analyst reports	1997- English 2006 1989- English 2012	Dictionary-based (own dictionary) Topic Modelling (LDA)	CEO regula- tory focus Topics	The authors show that CEO regulatory focus has an influ- ence on the acquisition behavior of the firm. The authors tested the relationships between analysts' framing repertoires and professional investors' evalua- tions of analysts. They find that investors appreciate ana- lysts with framing repertoires that resonate with their needs
Kaplan and Vakili (2015)	Journal articles	English	Topic Modelling (LDA)	Topics	The authors develop a text-based measure of novel ideas in patents using topic modeling to identify those patents that originate new topics in a body of knowledge.
Loughran and McDonald (2015)	Annual reports (10- K)	1994- English 2012	Dictionary-based (DICTION, LM)	Positivity Negativity Rel. positivity	General language dictionaries like Diction inappropriate for gauging the tone of financial disclosures.
Wang et al. (2015)	Journal articles	1974- English 2014	Topic Modelling (LDA)	Topics	The authors use a topic modeling procedure to uncover 16 topics that have been featured in the Journal of Consumer Research since its inception and to show the trends in top- ics over time.
Ammann and Schaub (2016)	Online comments	2013- German 2014	Dictionary-based (Ad-hoc, SENTIWS, LIWC)	Positivity Negativity	The authors analyse data from an online social trading network, where traders publish their trading strategies for followers to comment on and invest in. They find that online investors adjust their trading behavior to the com- mentaries' sentiment, but the commentaries does not seem to have predictive power for the trading strategies' future performance.
Antons et al. (2016)	Journal articles	1984- English 2013	Topic Modelling (LDA)	Topics	The authors provide a map of the topic landscape in the Journal of Product Innovation Management. Further, they identify articles per innovation management topic that are most strongly associated with the respective topic to pro- vide a fast and efficient way to dive into a topic.
Bochkay et al. (2016) Boudt and Thewis- sen (2016)	Earnings conference calls CEO Letters	2006- English 2013 2000- English 2011	Dictionary-based (Ad-hoc) Dictionary-based (DICTION, LM)	linguistic ex- tremity Positive Negative Net positivity	Investors respond strongly to extreme language, resulting in higher abnormal trading volume and stock returns. CEOs present negative and positive words strategically in CEO letters in order to prompt a more positive perception by the reader.
Debortoli et al. (2016)	Customer reviews	2012- English 2016	Topic Modelling (LDA)	Topics	The authors provide a tutorial for information system re- searchers on text mining using topic modelling.

Eickhoff and Mun- termann (2016a)	Analyst reports, Earnings conference calls	2000- English 2015	Topic Modelling (LDA)	Topics	As the amount of potential useful business communica- tion is steadily growing, the authors provide a structural approach to reduce information overload.
Eickhoff and Mun- termann (2016b)	Analyst reports, Earnings conference calls	2000- English 2015	Topic Modelling (LDA)	Topics	The authors use LDA to investigate financial analysts' in- formation processing behavior. They find that analyst re- ports written in a short period after a conference call show a significant topic-uptake from conference call events.
Feuerriegel et al. (2016)	Ad-hoc announce- ments	2004- German 2011	Topic Modelling (LDA)	Topics	Using Latent Dirichlet Allocation, the authors analyse the effects of topics found in German regulated ad-hoc an- nouncements on stock market returns. The authors find that some topics have no resulting effect on abnormal re- turns of stocks, whereas other topics, such as drug testing, exhibit a large effect.
González et al. (2016)	Annual reports	2010- Spanish and 2013 Portuguese	Dictionary-based (LM)	Uncertainty	For a sample of firms in the six largest Latin America countries, the authors look at the textual sentiment of an- nual reports. They can show that uncertainty is negatively associated with firm valuation and financial performance.
Henry and Leone (2016)	Earnings press re- leases	2004- English 2012	Dictionary-based (HENRY, DICTION, HAR- VARD, LM) Machine-Learning (Naïve Bayes)	Rel. positivity	Dictionary based measures of textual sentiment based on domain-specific wordlists are better in predicting market reactions to earnings announcements compared to general language wordlists. Dictionary based measures of textual sentiment are as powerful as the Naive Bayesian machine- learning methodology.
Heston and Sinha (2016)	News articles	2003- English 2010	Machine-Learning (Thomson Reuters senti- ment engine)	Negativity Positivity Net positivity	Daily news predicts stock returns for 1 to 2 days and weekly news predicts stock returns for one quarter. Thereby, positive news articles increase stock returns quickly and negative articles have a long-delayed reac- tion.
Hillert et al. (2016)	Mutual fund share- holder letters	2006- English 2012	Dictionary-based (LM, HARVARD)	Negativity	Negative tone in funds' shareholder letters lead to lower fund inflows. Thereby, shareholder letter tone has no pre- dictive power for future fund performance.
Mengelkamp et al. (2016)	Twitter messages	2013 German	Dictionary-based (Ad-hoc, SENTIWS)	Negativity Positivity	Textual sentiment in Twitter messages contains evidence concerning the financial stability of companies. The au- thors' constructed ad-hoc dictionary performs superior compared to the general German language dictionary SENTIWS.

Bannier et al. (2017)	CEO Speeches	2008- German 2016	Dictionary-based (SENTIWS, LIWC, BPW)	Negative Rel. positivity	Textual sentiment in CEO speeches held at the compa- nies' annual general meetings is significantly related to stock market reactions following the annual general meet- ing.
Dzieliński et al. (2017)	Earnings conference calls	2003- English 2015	Dictionary-based (LM)	Uncertain	Investors respond less and more slowly with managers' use of uncertain language in earnings conference calls.
Ertugrul et al. (2017)	Annual reports (10- K)	1995- English 2013	Dictionary-based (LM)	Uncertain Modal words	Firms with less readable more uncertain words in their an- nual reports have stricter loan contract terms and greater future stock price crash risk.
Huang et al. (2017)	Analyst reports, Corporate disclo- sures	2003- English 2012	Topic Modelling (LDA)	Topics	Using LDA, the authors compare the topics of earnings conference calls and subsequent analyst reports. Doing so, they are able to analyse the value added by the ana- lysts.
Lee and Kang (2017)	Journal articles	1997- English 2016	Topic Modelling (LDA)	Topics	The authors identify and analyse technology and innova- tion management research topics using topic modeling based on the articles published in 11 major journals in the field of technology and innovation management.
Renault (2017)	Online comments	2012- English 2016	Dictionary-based (Ad-hoc, LM, HARVARD); Machine-Learning (naïve Bayes, maximum en- tropy, support vector ma- chines)	Positivity Negativity	The authors generate an ad-hoc dictionary in their analy- sis of social media messages' effect on intraday stock re- turns. The authors compare their ad-hoc dictionaries to the LM and HARVARD dictionaries as well as to ma- chine-learning approaches. They find their ad-hoc dic- tionary to be better in explaining intraday stock price re- actions than common dictionaries and to be competitive with complex machine learning approaches.
Antons and Breidbach (2018)	Journal articles	1986- English 2016	Topic Modelling (LDA)	Topics	The authors identify and analyse 69 distinct research top- ics in the body of service innovation and service design research.

### Table 13: Adjustments to the sample of quarterly and annual reports

This table lists word combinations that were controlled for throughout our analysis using annual and quarterly reports. While the bag-of-words model generally assumes word independence, the evaluation of quarterly and annual reports obliges us to control for certain combinations of words. A company's "GAINS AND LOSSES" or "PROFITS AND LOSSES" are frequently mentioned without negative or positive connotation in quarterly or annual reports. This would, in comparison to the German documents where the equivalent "GEWINN- UND VERLUSTRECHNUNG" is not included in the BPW dictionary, lead to a more extreme assessment of the English documents' positivity and negativity. Thus, we identify 40 combinations of the words "GAIN(S)" and "LOSSE(S)" as well as "PROFIT(S)" and "LOSSE(S)" and exclude them from the equivalence analyses. Likewise, the terms "IMPAIRMENT LOSS" and "IMPAIRMENT LOSSES" would account for two negative words while the German counterparts "WERTMINDER-UNGSVERLUST" and "WERTMINDERUNGSVERLUSTE" would only account for one negative word. As this would also lead to an overestimation of the English documents' negativity compared to their German counterparts, we counted "IMPAIRMENT LOSS" and "IMPAIRMENT LOSSES" each as one negative word for our equivalence analyses. Note that we also controlled for different number of spaces between the combinations.

GAINS & LOSSES	PROFITS & LOSSES	LOSSES & GAINS	LOSSES & PROFITS
GAINS/LOSSES	PROFITS/LOSSES	LOSSES/GAINS	LOSSES/PROFITS
GAINS (LOSSES)	PROFITS (LOSSES)	LOSSES (GAINS)	LOSSES (PROFITS)
GAINS AND LOSSES	PROFITS AND LOSSES	LOSSES AND GAINS	LOSSES AND PROFITS
GAINS OR LOSSES	PROFITS OR LOSSES	LOSSES OR GAINS	LOSSES OR PROFITS
GAIN & LOSS	PROFIT & LOSS	LOSS & GAIN	LOSS & PROFIT
GAIN/LOSS	PROFIT/LOSS	LOSS/GAIN	LOSS/PROFIT
GAIN (LOSS)	PROFIT (LOSS)	LOSS (GAIN)	LOSS (PROFIT)
GAIN AND LOSS	PROFIT AND LOSS	LOSS AND GAIN	LOSS AND PROFIT
GAIN OR LOSS	PROFIT OR LOSS	LOSS OR GAIN	LOSS OR PROFIT

#### Table 14: Additional analyses

This table includes additional robustness checks to our main analyses. It presents summary statistics for the quarterly and annual reports' shares of sentimental words with respect to the dictionary by Loughran and McDonald (2011) for the English versions of the reports and with respect to the BPW dictionary for the German versions of the reports. Further, this table shows simple pairwise correlations, Spearman rank correlations and intra-class correlations (ICC[3,2]) after Shrout and Fleiss (1979) between the English and German textual sentiment with respect to the negative, positive and uncertain wordlists dictionary by Loughran and McDonald (2011) and our adapted dictionary, respectively. Panel A, presents our main analysis, making no exception from the word independence assumption described as described in Table 13. Panel B, presents our main analysis not using pruning or stop-word filtering. In panel C, we use a subsample of only professionally translated reports, whereby we identify professionally translated reports by manually reviewing the reports with respect for the disclosure of the usage of external professional translation, proofreading, or text-production services. \*\*\*,\*\*, and \* indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively.

	English LM						German BPW						
	Mean	Median	SD	Min	Max	Mean	Median	SD	Min Ma	ax	Pairwise	Spearman	
	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%] [%	5]	Corr.	Corr.	ICC[3,2]
Panel	A: No	exceptio	n fro	m the	word	l indep	endence	assu	mption.				
NEG	1.58	1.47	0.57	0.34	3.84	1.40	1.31	0.47	0.16 3.3	30	0.779***	0.779***	0.863***
POS	1.27	1.25	0.29	0.40	2.53	1.15	1.14	0.31	0.00 2.3	37	0.676***	0.680***	0.806***
Panel	B: No	pruning,	, no s	top-w	ord f	iltering	g						
NEG	1.20	1.13	0.46	0.25	3.15	1.27	1.20	0.43	0.12 3.0	)4	0.779***	0.783***	0.874***
POS	1.04	1.03	0.28	0.29	2.43	1.08	1.06	0.31	0.00 2.8	32	0.635***	0.718***	0.774***
UNC	0.98	0.92	0.38	0.29	2.97	0.93	0.93	0.24	0.21 1.9	90	0.753***	0.762***	0.807***
Panel	C: Pro	ofessiona	ılly tr	ansla	ted r	eports	(N=382)	)					
NEG	1.26	1.22	0.45	0.47	2.77	1.27	1.22	0.36	0.51 3.3	30	0.774***	0.779***	0.862***
POS	1.18	1.17	0.29	0.34	2.08	1.19	1.17	0.26	0.48 2.0	)3	0.760***	0.711***	0.860***
UNC	1.11	1.03	0.47	0.46	3.25	1.05	1.04	0.28	0.41 2.0	)8	0.869***	0.864***	0.865***

# Table 15: English vs German textual sentiment in the quarterly and annual reports (Different versions of our dictionary)

For the construction of the BPW dictionary, we start by conducting a word-by-word translation on the LM word lists, accounting for differences in inflectional morphology, lexical morphology, and compound wording between German and English afterwards. This table shows the effect of these adaptions by re-conducting our main analysis for the different developmental stages of our dictionary. It presents the numbers of words in the BPW's wordlists with respect to the developmental stage as well as summary statistics for the quarterly and annual reports' shares of sentimental words with respect to the developmental stages of our (BPW) dictionary for the German versions of the reports. Further, it shows simple pairwise correlations, Spearman rank correlations and intra-class correlations (ICC) after Shrout and Fleiss (1979) between the English and German textual sentiment with respect to the negative, positive and uncertainty wordlists by Loughran and McDonald (2011) and the versions of our adapted BPW dictionary, respectively. \*\*\*,\*\*, and \* indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively.

		German (BPW)							
	# words	Mean	Median	SD	Min	Max	Pairwise	Spearman	
	in BPW	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	Corr.	Corr.	ICC[5,2]
Panel A:	Initial dicti	ionary							
NEG	11,746	3.11	3.02	0.65	0.48	5.85	0.656***	0.625***	0.784***
POS	2,913	3.53	3.47	0.63	0.13	5.78	0.517***	0.530***	0.573***
UNC	1,883	1.88	1.82	0.36	0.20	3.13	0.249***	0.272***	0.396***
Panel B:	Dictionary	including	g compound	d words	5				
NEG	12,031	3.21	3.11	0.69	0.48	6.04	0.676***	0.648***	0.793***
POS	2,940	3.53	3.47	0.63	0.13	5.78	0.518***	0.531***	0.573***
UNC	1,941	1.92	1.88	0.36	0.20	3.14	0.338***	0.335***	0.503***
Panel C.	: Final diction	onary inc	luding com	pound	words,	control	lling for lexical	and inflection	al morphology
NEG	10,147	1.40	1.31	0.47	0.16	3.30	0.769***	0.779***	0.865***
POS	2,223	1.15	1.14	0.31	0.00	2.37	0.725***	0.734***	0.840***
UNC	1,697	1.01	1.01	0.26	0.25	2.13	0.752***	0.774***	0.811***

This ta	This table presents summary statistics of our sample of CEO speeches uses for our analyses in Table 6.												
				Words p	er doc	ument		Indiv	idual word	ls per	docur	nent	
	Reports	Total Words	Mean	Median	SD	Min	Max	Mean	Median	SD	Min	Max	
Panel	A: Whole	sample											
GER	338	1,160,453	3,433	3363	985	1,327	6,392	1,120	1,116	247	530	1,835	
Panel	B: Sub-sa	mple of corresp	oonding	English	and G	erman	speech	es					
ENG	270	892,557	3,306	3,181	1,056	1,172	6,176	979	966	224	447	1,635	
GER	270	931,213	3,449	3,343	1,047	1,327	6,392	1,126	1,114	265	530	1,835	

### Table 17: Test of differences of cumulative abnormal returns

This table sorts holding period excess returns following the release of annual and quarterly reports into tertiles with respect to their negativity, positivity and uncertainty as measured by our BPW dictionary. Excess returns are estimated as a firm's buy-and-hold stock return index for the 3-day time window of day -1 to day 1 minus the CDAX buy-and-hold market index return over the respective 3-day event window. Statistical significance of the differences in mean and median excess returns between the highest and lowest tertiles of textual sentiment categories are assessed by t and z test statistics, respectively. \*\*\*,\*\*, and \* indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively.

		T1	T2	Т3	Т3-Т1	t-Statistic	Wilcoxon rank-sum z-Statistic
Panel A: Whole	sample (N=1,3	348)					
Negativity	Mean	0.006	0.003	0.000	-0.005	-1.778*	
	Median	0.002	0.003	-0.001	-0.003		-1.220
Positivity	Mean	0.001	0.001	0.007	0.006	2.183**	
	Median	0.001	-0.002	0.008	0.007		2.907***
Uncertainty	Mean	0.007	0.002	0.000	-0.007	-2.179**	
	Median	0.004	0.002	-0.001	-0.005		-1.942*
Panel B: Annua	il reports (N=3:	51)					
Negativity	Mean	-0.001	0.000	0.005	0.006	0.918	
	Median	-0.006	0.003	0.005	0.011		1.799*
Positivity	Mean	-0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.393	
	Median	0.003	-0.002	0.001	-0.002		-0.264
Uncertainty	Mean	0.005	-0.007	0.005	0.000	-0.005	
	Median	0.003	-0.009	0.007	0.004		0.407
Panel B: Quart	erly reports (N=	=997)					
Negativity	Mean	0.009	0.002	0.000	-0.009	-2.429**	
	Median	0.004	0.002	-0.001	-0.005		-2.250**
Positivity	Mean	0.000	0.002	0.009	0.009	2.812***	
	Median	-0.002	0.000	0.009	0.011		3.811***
Uncertainty	Mean	0.009	0.002	0.001	-0.008	-2.284**	
-	Median	0.008	0.001	-0.001	-0.009		-2.562**

#### 1. References

- Allee KD, Deangelis MD (2015) The structure of voluntary disclosure narratives: Evidence from tone dispersion. Journal of Accounting Research 53:241-274. doi: 10.1111/1475-679X.12072
- Ammann M, Schaub N (2016) Social interaction and investing: Evidence from an online social trading network. Working Paper
- Ammann M, Frey R, Verhofen M (2014) Do newspaper articles predict aggregate stock returns? Journal of Behavioral Finance 15:195-213. doi: 10.1080/15427560.2014.941061
- Antons D, Breidbach CF (2018) Big Data, Big Insights? Advancing service innovation and design with machine learning. Journal of Service Research 21:17–39. doi: 10.1177/1094670517738373
- Antons D, Kleer R, Salge TO (2016) Mapping the topic landscape of JPIM, 1984-2013: In search of hidden structures and development trajectories. Journal of Product Innovation Management 33:726–749. doi: 10.1111/jpim.12300
- Arslan-Ayaydin Ö, Boudt K, Thewissen J (2015) Managers set the tone: Equity incentives and the tone of earnings press releases. Journal of Banking & Finance 72:132-147. doi: 10.1016/j.jbankfin.2015.10.007
- Bannier CE, Pauls T, Walter A (2017) CEO-Speeches and stock returns. Working Paper. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2869785
- Bao Y, Datta A (2014) Simultaneously discovering and quantifying risk types from textual risk disclosures. Management Science 60:1371-1391. doi: 10.1287/mnsc.2014.1930
- Bochkay K, Chava S, Hales J (2016) Hyperbole or reality? Investor response to extreme language in earnings conference calls. Working Paper. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2781784
- Boudt K, Thewissen J (2016) Jockeying for position in CEO letters: Impression management and sentiment analytics. Working Paper. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2326400
- Boukus E, Rosenberg JV (2006) The information content of FOMC minutes. Working Paper. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.922312
- Buehlmaier MMM, Whited TM (2014) Looking for risk in words: A narrative approach to measuring the pricing implications of financial constraints. Working Paper. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2435116
- Chen H, De P, Hu Y, Hwang B-H (2014) Wisdom of crowds: The value of stock opinions transmitted through social media. Review of Financial Studies 27:1367-1403. doi: 10.1093/rfs/hhu001
- Cicon JE, Ferris SP, Kammel AJ, Noronha G (2012) European corporate governance: A thematic analysis of national codes of governance. European Financial Management 18:620-648. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-036X.2010.00542.x
- Davis AK, Ge W, Matsumoto D, Zhang JL (2015) The effect of manager-specific optimism on the tone of earnings conference calls. Review of Accounting Studies 20:639-673. doi: 10.1007/s11142-014-9309-4
- Debortoli S, Müller O, Junglas I, Vom Brocke J (2016) Text mining for information systems researchers: An annotated topic modeling tutorial. CAIS 39:110–135. doi: 10.17705/1CAIS.03907
- Dzieliński M, Wagner AF, Zeckhauser RJ (2017) Straight talkers and vague talkers: The effects of managerial style in earnings conference calls. Working Paper
- Eickhoff M, Muntermann J (2016a) How to conquer information overload? Supporting financial decisions by identifying relevant conference call topics. PACIS 2016 Proceedings
- Eickhoff M, Muntermann J (2016b) They talk but what do they listen to? Analyzing financial analysts' information processing using latent Dirichlet allocation. PACIS 2016 Proceedings
- Ertugrul M, Lei J, Qiu J, Wan C (2017) Annual report readability, tone ambiguity, and the cost of borrowing. Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis 52:811-836. doi: 10.1017/S0022109017000187
- Feuerriegel S, Ratku A, Neumann D (2016) Analysis of how underlying topics in financial news affect stock prices using Latent Dirichlet Allocation. 49th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS 2016):1072–1081. doi: 10.1109/HICSS.2016.137
- Gamache DL, McNamara G, Mannor MJ, Johnson RE (2015) Motivated to acquire? The impact of CEO regulatory focus on firm acquisitions. Academy of Management Journal 58:1261–1282. doi: 10.5465/amj.2013.0377
- Giorgi S, Weber K (2015) Marks of distinction: Framing and audience appreciation in the context of investment advice. Administrative Science Quarterly 60:333-367. doi: 10.1177/0001839215571125
- González M, Guzmán A, Téllez D, Trujill M-A (2016) What Do You Say and How Do You Say It: Information Disclosure in Latin American Firms. Working Paper. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2929833

- Henry E, Leone AJ (2016) Measuring qualitative information in capital markets research: Comparison of alternative methodologies to measure disclosure tone. The Accounting Review 91:153-178. doi: 10.2308/accr-51161
- Heston SL, Sinha NR (2016) News versus sentiment: Predicting stock returns from news stories. FEDS 2016:1-35. doi: 10.17016/feds.2016.048
- Hillert A, Niessen-Ruenzi A, Ruenzi S (2016) Mutual Fund Shareholder Letters: Flows, Performance, and Managerial Behavior. Working Paper. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2524610
- Huang AH, Lehavy R, Zang AY, Zheng R (2017) Analyst information discovery and interpretation roles: A topic modeling approach. Management Science 64:2833-2855. doi: 10.1287/mnsc.2017.2751
- Kaplan S, Vakili K (2015) The double-edged sword of recombination in breakthrough innovation. Strategic Management Journal 36:1435–1457. doi: 10.1002/smj.2294
- Kearney C, Liu S (2014) Textual sentiment in finance: A survey of methods and models. International Review of Financial Analysis 33:171-185. doi: 10.1016/j.irfa.2014.02.006
- Larcker DF, Zakolyukina AA (2012) Detecting deceptive discussions in conference calls. Journal of Accounting Research 50:495-540. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-679X.2012.00450.x
- Lee H, Kang P (2017) Identifying core topics in technology and innovation management studies: A topic model approach. The Journal of Technology Transfer. doi: 10.1007/s10961-017-9561-4
- Loughran T, McDonald B (2011) When is a liability not a liability? Textual analysis, dictionaries, and 10-Ks. The Journal of Finance 66:35-65. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6261.2010.01625.x
- Loughran T, McDonald B (2015) The use of word lists in textual analysis. Journal of Behavioral Finance 16:1-11. doi: 10.1080/15427560.2015.1000335
- Mengelkamp A, Schumann M, Wolf S (2016) Data driven creation of sentiment dictionaries for corporate credit risk analysis. Proceedings of the 22nd Americas Conference on Information Systems (AMCIS):1-8
- Renault T (2017) Intraday online investor sentiment and return patterns in the U.S. stock market. Journal of Banking & Finance 84:25-40. doi: 10.1016/j.jbankfin.2017.07.002
- Shrout PE, Fleiss JL (1979) Intraclass correlations: Uses in assessing rater reliability. Psychological Bulletin 86:420-428. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.86.2.420
- Tirunillai S, Tellis GJ (2014) Mining marketing meaning from online chatter: Strategic brand analysis of big data using latent Dirichlet allocation. Journal of Marketing Research 51:463-479. doi: 10.1509/jmr.12.0106
- Wang X, Bendle NT, Mai F, Cotte J (2015) The Journal of Consumer Research at 40: A historical analysis. Journal of Consumer Research 42:5–18. doi: 10.1093/jcr/ucv009