Universality frontier - critical phenomena in the complex plane

Gregory Johnson North Carolina State University

In collaboration with: Vladimir Skokov, Fabian Rennecke

Lunch Club Seminar

- Gießen 5/2023



The phase diagram of water



Cmglee - Own work, CC BY-SA 3.0

https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=34865054

Features:

- ▶ 1st order and 2nd order phase transitions
- Critical opalescence at the critical point (diverging correlation length)
- Critical point is identical* to that of the Ising model!

\sim Universality

The rich structure of O(N) symmetric field theories

$$S_{\Lambda}[\phi] = \int d^d x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi(\mathbf{x}))^2 + \frac{1}{2} t_{\Lambda} \phi(\mathbf{x})^2 + \frac{1}{4} u_{\Lambda} \phi(\mathbf{x})^4 - H \cdot \phi(\mathbf{x}) \right\}$$
(1)

- ϕ is an N-component vector (similarly for H)
- ▶ Arise from lattice models near critical points:
- ► Exhibit Ising-like 2^{nd} order phase transitions: $\rightarrow O(N)$ Wilson-Fisher (WF) points
- Universality: O(N) WF points are prolific!
- ▶ Ising N = 1, Superfluid Helium N = 2, Ferromagnets N = 3, chiral* QCD N = 4, Random walks N = 0, -2



Stephanov, M. A., Phys.Rev.D 73 (2006) 094508

The Landau-Ginzburg approximation and mean-field

$$S_{\Lambda}[\phi] = \int_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi(\mathbf{x}))^2 + \frac{1}{2} t_{\Lambda} \phi^2(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{4} u_{\Lambda} \phi^4(\mathbf{x}) - H \cdot \phi(\mathbf{x}) \right\}$$
(2)
$$\mathcal{Z} = \int_{\Lambda} \mathcal{D}\phi \, e^{-S_{\Lambda}[\phi]} \quad \Lambda \sim a^{-1} \text{ where } a \text{ is a microscopic length scale}$$
(3)

◆ Landau Ginzburg Approximation: Intuition - maintain locality and symmetries

$$\Gamma[\varphi] \approx \int_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} Z \left(\nabla \phi(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} t \, \phi(\mathbf{x})^2 + \frac{1}{4} u \, \phi(\mathbf{x})^4 - H \cdot \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \right\}$$
(4)

• Mean-Field: minimizing $\Gamma \rightarrow$ spatially constant field configurations $|\phi(\mathbf{x})| = M$

specific free energy
$$f(M) = V^{-1} \Gamma_{\rm MF}[M] = \frac{1}{2} t M^2 + \frac{1}{4} u M^4 - H M$$
 (5)

$$extremizing \quad f'(M) = 0 \tag{6}$$

stability
$$f''(M) \ge 0$$
 (Criticality: $f''(M) = 0$) (7

Gießen, May 2023

◆ The mean-field free energy:

$$f(M) = \frac{1}{2}tM^2 + \frac{1}{4}M^4 - HM$$

$$f'(M_0) = 0 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad H = M_0 \ \left(t + M_0^2 \right)$$

- Reduced temperature: $t \propto T T_c$
- Correlation length: $\xi = m_{eff}^{-1}$ where $m_{eff}^2 = f''(M_0)$ $\sim 2^{\text{nd}}$ order transition when $f''(M_0) = 0$

• Criticality: Solve $f''(M_0) = t + 3M_0^2 = 0$ for t=0 yields H=0

• The mean-field free energy:

$$f(M) = \frac{1}{2}t M^2 + \frac{1}{4}M^4 - HM$$
$$f'(M_0) = 0 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad H = M_0 \ (t + M_0^2)$$

• For t < 0: $f''(M_0) = t + 3M_0^2 = 0$ yields H real and non-zero ~ Spinodal point

- Criticality in the complex plane: allow for complex fields
- ◆ For t > 0: $f''(M_c) = t + 3M_c^2 = 0$ yields H purely imaginary ~ Yang-Lee edge singularity (YLE)
- The Yang-Lee edge location: H_c and M_c are both purely imaginary

• The mean-field free energy:

$$f(M) = \frac{1}{2}t M^2 + \frac{1}{4}M^4 - HM$$

◆ Re-expanded about the Yang-Lee edge:

$$f(M) = i |M_c| (M - M_c)^3 - (H - H_c)(M - M_c) + \mathcal{O}(M - M_c)^4$$

• Tuning $h \to h_c$ brings one to the YLE - for t > 0

• No longer a
$$\lambda \phi^4$$
 theory but an $i g \phi^3$ theory!

◆ To truly understand the Yang-Lee edge, we need Lee-Yang zeros!

The Lee-Yang theory of phase transitions I

◆ Consider the Ising model:

$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J s_i s_j - H \sum_i s_i \tag{8}$$

◆ Factorize the partition function via its zeros:

$$\mathcal{Z}_N(\beta, H) = e^{-\beta N H} \sum_{n=0}^N a_n z^n \sim \prod_{i=1}^N (z - z_i) \quad \text{where} \quad z = e^{-2\beta H} \tag{9}$$

• Thermodynamic limit: $\mathcal{F} \sim \int d\omega g(\omega, t) \log (z - \omega)$ where $t \propto T - T_c$

- ▶ non-analyticities in the density of zeros $g(\omega, t) \rightarrow$ non-analyticities in \mathcal{F}
- ▶ Lee-Yang circle theorem: Ising-like^{*} $\rightarrow z_n = e^{i\theta_m} \rightarrow$ purely imaginary H

The Lee-Yang theory of phase transitions II



The 1D Ising Model - distribution in the fugacity $z = e^{-2\beta H}$



The density of zeros II

The 1D Ising Model:

The mean-field approximation:



• Near the edge: $g(H, t > 0) \propto (H - H_c)^{\sigma}$

Relation between density of zeros g(H,t) and the magnetization:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[M(H,t) \right] = 2\pi g(H,t) \quad \text{where} \quad H \in i \mathbb{R}$$

$$M(0,t) = 2\pi g(0,t)$$
(10)
(11)

• High temps: t > 0, density vanishes about origin - $g(|H| < |H_c|, t) = 0 \rightsquigarrow M \in i \mathbb{R}$

- Low temps: t < 0, density is finite about origin which produces $M(H = 0, t) \neq 0!$
- Edge scaling: $g(H,t>0) \propto (H-H_c)^{\sigma} \rightsquigarrow M-M_c \propto (H-H_c)^{\sigma}$

The Yang-Lee edge singularity I

$$f(M) = i |M_c| (M - M_c)^3 - (H - H_c)(M - M_c)$$
$$S = \int_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{3} i g \varphi^3 - (H - H_c) \varphi \right\}$$

 \blacktriangleright Critical Data: $\varphi \sim M - M_c$

$$\varphi \propto (H - H_c)^{\sigma}$$
(12)
$$\sigma = \frac{d - 2 + \eta}{d + 2 - \eta}$$
(13)

- Imaginary coupling $\rightsquigarrow \eta < 0$.
- $H \neq 0 \rightsquigarrow \sigma$ is identical $\forall O(N)$ models.
- $\phi^3 \rightsquigarrow$ upper critical dimension $d_c = 6$.

The thinking: non-analyticities in g(H, t) yield critical points

The Wilson-Fisher Point (WF):

The Yang-Lee Edge Singularity (YLE):

• Occurs at t = H = 0• Occurs at t > 0 and $H = \pm H_c \in i \mathbb{R}$

$$S = \int_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla \phi \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} r \, \phi^2 + \frac{1}{4} u \, \phi^4 - H \phi \right\} \qquad S = \int_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla \varphi \right)^2 + \frac{1}{3} i g \, \varphi^3 - (H - H_c) \varphi \right\}$$

♦ Idea A: For $t \ll 1$, YLE \in critical region of WF \rightarrow extended universality.

• Idea B: The dependence of the gap H_c on $T - T_c$ is universal (in appropriate variables).

Scaling and universality near critical points I

• **Big Idea:** Critical points (static) belong to **universality classes** labelled by the underlying symmetry and the dimension.

Example: QCD's critical endpoint*, the liquid-gas critical point, and the Ising model critical point \rightarrow Ising Universality Class.

• The proper framework for scaling is via the Renormalization Group (RG):

 \rightarrow homogeneous free energy and correlation functions in t and h (scaling invariance):

$$f_s(t,h) = b^{-d} f_s(t \, b^{y_t}, \, h \, b^{y_h}) \tag{14}$$

• Take $h b^{y_h} = 1 \rightsquigarrow b = h^{-1/y_h}$: universal scaling function $F_s(z)$

$$f_s(t,h) = h^{d/y_h} f_s(t h^{-y_t/y_h}, 1)$$
(15)

$$= h^{d/y_h} F_s(z = t \, h^{-y_t/y_h}) \tag{16}$$

Scaling and universality near critical points II

• Free energy of any member of a universality class \rightarrow a universal scaling function $F_s(z)$:

$$f_s(t,h) = h^{d/y_h} F_s(z = t h^{-y_t/y_h})$$
(17)

◆ Universal magnetic equation of state:

$$M = \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial h} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad M = h^{1/\delta} f_G(z) \quad \text{where} \quad z = t \, h^{-1/\beta\delta} \tag{18}$$

RG Eigenvalues \rightarrow Critical Exponents:

- $\blacktriangleright \ \delta = \frac{y_h}{d y_h}, \ \frac{1}{\beta \delta} = \frac{y_t}{y_h}$
- Critical amplitudes are needed to define the rescaled variables t, h.

More on Universality:

- ► All thermodynamic quantities have scaling functions.
- **Powerful** to know details of these functions.
- ▶ Most* details have been heavily studied.

Extended universality from the Yang-Lee edge: Two views

Rescale t and H:

$$M = B (-t)^{\beta} \rightsquigarrow M = \left(-\bar{t}\right)^{\beta}$$
$$M = B_c H^{1/\delta} \rightsquigarrow M = h^{1/\delta}$$

Universal magnetic equation of state:

$$M = h^{1/\delta} f_G(z)$$
$$z = \bar{t} h^{-1/\beta\delta}$$

Universal Amplitude* Ratio:

Universal Location:

$$H_{c} = i H_{0} t^{\beta \delta} \rightsquigarrow h_{c} = i R_{h} \bar{t}^{\beta \delta} \qquad \qquad z_{c} = t h_{c}^{-1/\beta \delta}$$
$$R_{h} = \frac{B_{c}^{\delta} H_{0}}{B^{\delta}} \qquad \qquad \qquad \operatorname{Arg}(z_{c}) = \frac{\pi}{2\beta\delta} \text{ but } |z_{c}| = ?$$

Important? Yes! It determines analytic structure, radius of convergence, etc.

Another useful quantity:
$$\zeta_c = \frac{z_c}{R_{\chi}^{1/\gamma}}$$

A moment for pause

- YLE critical exponent σ (and η) well studied: in d=3, $\sigma \approx 0.085$
- YLE universal location previously unknown for the physically relevant cases of d = 3!
- Id Ising: (z_c, σ) = (1, -¹/₂)
 Id Ising: (z_c, σ) = (1, -¹/₂)
 Mean-field (d > 4): (z_c, σ) = (³/_{2^{2/3}}, ¹/₂)
 Large N (2 < d < 4):

Fonseca, P. and Zamolodchikov, A., arXiv:hep-th/0112167

- Impact of determining z_c for 3d O(N) models:
 - ► First calculation of a non-trivial universal quantity z_c. Connelly, A., GJ, Rennecke, F, Skokov, V., PhysRevLett. 125.191602
 - ► $O(4) z_c \rightarrow$ radius of convergence of $\mu = 0$ Taylor expansion for lattice QCD constraints on QCD's critical endpoint. Connelly, A; GJ, Mukherjee, S; Skokov, S, Nucl. Phys. A 1005 (2021) 121834
 - ▶ Precision results for important 3d O(N) models: N = 1 5

GJ, Rennecke, F., Skokov, V., arXiv:2211.00710

The functional renormalization group (FRG)

- At the microscopic scale Λ : $\Gamma_{LG}[\varphi] \approx S_{\Lambda}[\varphi] \sim$ no fluctuations (classical action!).
- Question: How do fluctuations on length scales larger than Λ^{-1} alter $\Gamma_{LG}[\varphi]$?
- ◆ FRG: Construct a scale-dependent action Γ_k[φ] by including a regulator R_k
 a scale dependent mass term which suppresses the fluctuations with p < k to Γ_k[φ].
- Requirements of the Regulator R_k :
 - 1.) $\Gamma_{k=\Lambda}[\varphi] = S_{\Lambda}[\varphi],$ 2.) $\Gamma_{k=0}[\varphi] = \Gamma[\varphi] \sim$ the full effective action.

• The Functional (Exact) Renormalization Group Equation: $t = \ln(k/\Lambda)$

$$\partial_t \Gamma_k[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \partial_t R_k \left(\frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_k[\varphi]}{\delta \varphi_i \, \delta \varphi_j} + R_k \right)^{-1} \right\}.$$

Quick Sketch: Leading order of the derivative expansion

• The local potential approximation (LPA): $\rho = \frac{1}{2}\phi_i\phi^i$

$$\Gamma_k[\varphi] = \int d^d x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \varphi)^2 + U_k(\rho) \right\}.$$
(19)

• Using the regulator $R_k = (k^2 - p^2)\Theta(k^2 - p^2)$:

$$\partial_t U_k(\rho) = \frac{2^{-(d-1)} k^{d+2}}{d \pi^{d/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{1}{k^2 + U'_k + 2\rho U''_k} + \frac{N-1}{k^2 + U'_k} \right).$$
(20)

Next-to-leading order in the derivative expansion: $ho = \frac{1}{2}\phi_i\phi^i$

$$\Gamma_{k}[\phi] = \int_{x} \left\{ U_{k}(\rho) + \frac{1}{2} Z_{k}(\rho) \left(\partial\phi\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{4} Y_{k}(\rho) \left(\partial\rho\right)^{2} \right\}$$

• Taylor expand about fixed renormalized mass: $Z_k^{-1} U_k''(\phi_{0,k}) = m_R^2 = \text{const}$

- Renormalize via Radial Field Renorm. $Z_k = Z_k(\phi_{0,k})$ and $Y_k = Y_k(\phi_{0,k})$
 - $Z_{\perp,k} = Z_k$ • $Z_{\parallel,k} = Z_k + \rho Y_k \rightsquigarrow \text{Basis: } U_k^{(n)}, Y_k^{(n)}, Z_{\parallel,k}^{(n)}$
 - ▶ Define the running anomalous dimension: $\eta_k = -\partial_t \ln Z_{\parallel,k}$
- Numerically solving flows: choose $R_k = \alpha (k^2 p^2) \Theta(k^2 p^2)$

Scaling Solutions vs Explicit Solving of Flow Equations

• For critical physics, it is best to use dimensionless renormalized variables:

 $\bullet \ \bar{\rho} = k^{d-2} \, Z_k^{-1} \, \rho \qquad \qquad \bullet \ \bar{U}_k^{(n)}(\bar{\rho}) = k^{d-n(d-2)} \, Z_k^n \, U_k^{(n)}(\rho)$

Scaling solutions:

▶ Scale invariance (fixed point)

 $\rightarrow \partial_t \bar{U}_*(\bar{\rho}) = 0$ (algebraic eqns.)

- Similar equations for $\bar{Z}_k(\bar{\rho}), \bar{Y}_k(\bar{\rho}), \dots$
- ▶ Perturbations about $\overline{U}_*(\overline{\rho}) \rightsquigarrow$ critical exponents (linearized beta functions)
- ► $\overline{U}_*(\overline{\rho})$ does not give critical amplitudes! Need physical Infrared (IR) potential $U_{k=0}(\rho)$ for the amplitudes

Explicit flow solutions:

- Perturb about fixed point solution
- ▶ Numerically solve diff. eqns. for $k \in (0, \Lambda]$
- \blacktriangleright IR physics \leadsto exponents AND amplitudes
- ▶ Use m_R² to probe appropriate regions of complex H-plane:
 H > 0 vs. H = 0 vs. H ∈ i ℝ

FRG at $\mathcal{O}(\partial^2)$: the process I

Process for computing ζ_c at d = 3 for O(N) models with N = 1 - 5

Step 1: Principle of minimal sensitivity (PMS): Apply to $\Delta = \beta \delta$ via WF scaling solutions.



Step 1: $\Delta = \beta \delta$ PMS location \rightsquigarrow fix α for the IR flows.

Step 2: Thermal and massive perturbations to WF.

Question: How to tune the mass to see WF and YLE?



FRG at $\mathcal{O}(\partial^2)$: the process III

Magnetization: $M_k = \phi_{0,k}$

"Running" Magnetic Field: $H_k \equiv U'_k(\phi_{0,k})$



FRG at $\mathcal{O}(\partial^2)$: the process **IV**

The YLE scaling solution:

$$\partial_t \bar{\varphi}_{0,k} = 0 \rightsquigarrow \partial_t \left(\bar{\phi}_{0,k} - \bar{M}_c \right) = 0$$

$$\partial_t \delta \bar{H}_k = 0 \rightsquigarrow \partial_t \left(\bar{H}_k - \bar{H}_c \right) = 0$$

Implication for physical variables:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \varphi_{0,k} & \sim & k^{\frac{d+2-\eta}{2}} & \rightsquigarrow & \phi_{0,k} - M_c \sim k^{\frac{d-2+\eta}{2}} \\ \delta H_k & \sim & k^{\frac{d+2-\eta}{2}} & \rightsquigarrow & H_k - H_c \sim k^{\frac{d+2-\eta}{2}} \end{array}$$

In 3D:
$$\eta = \eta_{\text{YLE}} \sim -.6$$

 $\phi_{0,k} - M_c \sim k^{0.2}$
 $H_k - H_c \sim k^{2.8}$

$$S = \int_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial \varphi \right)^2 + \frac{1}{3} i g \, \varphi^3 - \delta H \varphi \right\}$$



FRG at $\mathcal{O}(\partial^2)$: the process V

Step 2 cont. Extract B_c and δ ; C_2^+ and γ ; and H_c

$$M = B_c H^{1/\delta} \quad \text{where} \quad t = 0 \tag{21}$$

$$\chi = C_2^+ t^{-\gamma} \quad \text{where} \quad H = 0 \text{ and } t > 0 \tag{22}$$

a.) Set
$$t = 0$$
 and $m_R^2 \ll 1 \rightsquigarrow B_c$ and δ

- **b.)** For a range of t > 0, find $m_{0,+}^2(t) \rightsquigarrow C_2^+$ and γ
- c.) Compute H_c for some sufficiently small t

Done*:
$$\zeta_c = \frac{z_c}{R_\chi^{1/\gamma}} = \left(\frac{B_c}{C_2^+}\right)^{1/\gamma} t H_c^{-1/\beta\delta}$$

Step 3: Systematic error measure: Additional PMS Repeat ζ_c computation at H_c PMS • **Truncations:** ~ $(N_U, N_Y, N_{Z_{\parallel}})$ in terms of a ρ expansion

Typical H_c PMS for N = 2:



Comparison of PMS locations at (6,2,3):

N	1	2	3	4
α_{Δ}	0.5108	0.5069	0.5026	0.5044
α_{η}	0.5044	0.5075	0.5064	0.4906
α_{H_c}	0.6299	0.5921	0.5724	0.5617

FRG at $\mathcal{O}(\partial^2)$: the results II

The universal location:



- ◆ Truncations: ~ (N_U, N_Y, N<sub>Z_{||}) (5, 1, 2), (5, 2, 3), and (6, 2, 3)
 ◆ Error Analysis: (Δ_{tr}), (Δ_{reg})
 </sub>

• Mapping to
$$z_c$$
: Literature $\rightsquigarrow R_{\chi}$

N	1	2	3	4	5
$ \zeta_c $	1.621(4)(1)	1.612(9)(0)	1.604(7)(0)	1.597(3)(0)	1.5925(2)(1)
$ z_c $	2.43(4)	2.04(8)	1.83(6)	1.69(3)	1.55(4)

Summary and Future Work

Summary:

- \blacklozenge Extended universality of the YLE
- A crossover via FRG in the complex plane
- Next-to-leading order result for ζ_c of O(N) universality classes

Future Work:

- Compute ζ_c and/or z_c for the O(N) universality classes with -2 < N < 1.
- Extract remaining universality of YLE.
- Generalize study to multicritical fixed points and fixed points with fermionic degrees of freedom.



Extrapolation results: universal Yang-Lee edge location at LPA'

Results below were obtained using finite temperature flows:



 $2.50 - 1.75 - 1.50 - 10^{0} - 10^{1} - 10^{2} - 10^{3}$

• Demonstrating that mean-field results become exact in d = 4 dimensions, independent of N.

• Demonstrating convergence to d = 3 large N limit.

Connelly, A., GJ, Rennecke, F, Skokov, V., PhysRevLett. 125.191602