



Energy budget, Carbon Footprint of Agricultural Products - A Measure of the Impact of Agricultural Production on Climate Change

Andreas Mamolos Professor Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, School of Agriculture Laboratory of Ecology and Environmental Protection, University Campus, 54124 Thessaloniki

Energy Resources

(a) Renewable energy is the energy that is collected from renewable resources, which are naturally replenished on a human timescale, such as sunlight, wind, rain, tides, waves, and geothermal heat.

(β) Non-renewable energy resource (also called a finite resource) is a resource with economic value, that cannot be readily replaced by natural means, at a quick enough pace to keep up with consumption. An example is carbon-based fossil fuels (coal, petroleum, natural gas).

Energy Resources

- (a) Until the middle of the 19th century <u>Exclusively renewables</u>
- Plant materials (Phytomass)
- River flow
- Wind
- Animal force
- Rare non-renewable sources (e.g. coal)

Energy Resources (b) From the mid 19th century and after Mostly non-renewables ✓ Fossil fuels ✓ Nuclear

<u>Problems</u>

- ✓ Fossil fuels (green-house gas emissions, air pollution, acid rain, water pollution, soil pollution)
- Nuclear energy (radioactive waste risks, e.g. Chernobyl – Fucushima)

Renewable Energy Resources

>Advantages

- Renewable energy won't run out
- Maintenance requirements are lower
- Renewables save money
- Renewable energy has numerous health and environmental benefits (without pollutants)
- Renewables lower reliance on foreign energy sources

≻Disadvantages

- •Higher upfront cost (the technologies until now are typically more expensive).
- •Intermittency (Though renewable energy resources are available around the world, many of these resources aren't available year-round).
- •Storage capabilities (is expensive).
- •Geographic limitations (for example Greece has a diverse geography varying micro-climates, topographies, vegetation, and more).

Non-renewable Energy Resources

>Advantages

- Easy to transport and store
- Easily accessible
- •Can be efficiently converted to the type of energy required
- •Available throughout the year unlike solar energy or water energy

≻Disadvantages

- Produces greenhouse gases
- •Its by products cause damage to the environment
- •Once exhausted they are not easily replenished
- •Its residual products are generally non-biodegradable
- •Its products pose potential threat to human health
- Responsible for acid rain

Renewable energy resources today

- Hydroelectric
- Solar
- Wind
- Phytomass

Sea waves - Tidal (difficulties in use)



•High dependence on fossil fuels

Prudent use: two approaches

a.reduction of energy use

b.more efficient use of energy <u>Main message is</u> <u>saving energy: the big resource</u>



WATER STRESS BY COUNTRY

ratio of withdrawals to supply

Low stress (< 10%) Low to medium stress (10-20%) Medium to high stress (20-40%) High stress (40-80%)

Extremely high stress (> 80%)

This map shows the average exposure of water users in each country to water stress, the ratio of total withdrawals to total renewable supply in a given area. A higher percentage means more water users are competing for limited supplies. Source: WRI Aqueduct, Gassert et al. 2013

AQUEDUCT



The Earth at night (Nasa, 2018)





Consumption of animal products (FAO)







Global Nutrition System 1970-2050

- Restrictions on growth and the population "bomb"
 Overproduction in the developed
- people
- •Reduce or eliminate malnutrition in the developing world
- "Green revolution"
- Washington consensus









- The food production system enters unexplored waters: the past is a guide for the future
- Action is required on many fronts
- Sustainable intensification (even if we call it something else)
- Increase investment in agricultural sciences and converting low technology to high
- Change in thinking about consumption
- Integrated Assurance System in Primary Agricultural Production GLOBAL GAP

If we fail to produce food we will fail in all Everything flows, nothing is left behind (Heraclitus) Τα πάντα ρει και ουδέν μένει



Agriculture is affected by climate change



Decreases in yields (up to 75%), quality and safety



Source: UNEP 2019

Emissions of greenhouse gases by activity in the EU (Eurostat, 2016)

- 25.0 % Electricity production
- 11.0 % Transportation
- 20.0 % Manufacturing
- 20.0 % Households and small businesses
- 10.0 % Agriculture
- 14.0 % Other



Source: IPCC, 2018

1. Farmhouses 2. Animals a. Intestinal fermentation 31% CH₄ B. Manure management $7\% N_2O$ 3 | 6. Soil treatment and crops 51% N₂O 4. Farm machines 5. Agro-forest vegetation 7. Renewable energy production from manure 11% CH₄

Agriculture

5-10% of the total energy consumed

The man of the Tropical and Subtropical areas consumes as much energy as the Temperate and Polar man consumes to produce only his proteins

(31 kg/year/person = 250 kg of fossil fuel)

The Earth at night (Nasa, 2018)





Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices (Tilman et al. 2002, Nature 418:671-677).

Agricultural trends from 1960 until 2000.

a) Total global cereal production b) Total global use of N and P fertilizer (except former USSR not included) and area of global irrigated land c) Total global pesticide production and global pesticide imports (summed across all countries)





Agricultural trends over the last 20 years a) Total global use of N, P and K fertilizer b) global pesticide production and c) Total global cereal production (FAO, 2019)



Energy Inputs in Industrialized Agriculture



- Energy analysis of agroecosystems
- Economic analysis of agroecosystems Both requires drawing up energy or economic balances

There are advantages – disadvantages for both analyses

Generally, is possible for human to start trading in energy units rather than monetary ones?

Energy units kWh=860 kcal MJ=238.8 kcal

Energy inputs in agroecosystems

- Machines (depreciation)
- ✓ Fertilizers
- ✓ Electricity
- ✓Fuel
- ✓Seed
- ✓Pesticides
- ✓Human work

	Average Corr	Average Corn Production		Reduced Energy Inputs		
Inputs	Quantity	kcalx × 1000	Quantity	kcal × 1000		
Labor	11.4 hrs	462	15 hrs	608		
Machinery	18.0 kg	333	10 kg	185		
Diesel	88.0 L	1,003	60 L	684		
Gasoline	40.0 L	405	0			
Nitrogen	155.0 kg	2,480	Legumes	1,000		
Phosphorus	79.0 kg	328	45 kg	187		
Potassium	84.0 kg	274	40 kg	130		
Lime	1,120.0 kg	315	600 kg	169		
Seeds	21.0 kg	520	21 kg	520		
Irrigation	8.1 cm	320	0	0		
Herbicides	6.2 kg	620	0	0		
Insecticides	2.8 kg	280	0	0		
Electricity	13.2 kWh	34	34 kWh	34		
Transport	146.0 kg	48	75 kg	25		
Total		7,470		3,542		
Corn yield 9,0	00 kg/ha	31,612				



FROM Stagnari F., A. Maggio, A. Galieni, M. Pisante. (2017) Multiple benefits of legumes for agriculture sustainability: an overview. Chem. Biol. Technol. Agric., 4:2, DOI 10.1186/s40538-016-0085-1

Efficient use of energy in agriculture Questions

- Which agro-systems are energyintensive ecosystems and what extent do they occupy in the country?
- What is their economic and social significance?
- What are the biggest energy inputs?





Energy inputs, outputs in crops: Case studies from Greece



Energy inputs for corn production	n system
Inputs	%
Nitrogen	39.1
Electric energy for irrigation	24.8
Fuel	17.1
Potassium	3.9
Seed	3.5
Other sources	6.6



FROM Stagnari F., A. Maggio, A. Galieni, M. Pisante. (2017) Multiple benefits of legumes for agriculture sustainability: an overview. Chem. Biol. Technol. Agric., 4:2, DOI 10.1186/s40538-016-0085-1 Does the size of each large inflow from place to place vary greatly for the same type of agro-system?

- How has the energy flow evolved?

- What is the relationship between each energy input class and performance and what interactions are there between inputs in terms of performance?

Changes in inputs and outputs of corn in the US from 1700 to 1985

Energy inputs	1700	1910	1945	1970	<u>1985</u>
Human work	65	7	3	1.2	0.6
Machinery	2	28	41	91	102
Animal work	?	89	0	0	0
Fuels	0	0	143	211	128
Nitrogen fertilization	0	0	17	248	319
Seed	4	4	16	52	52
Insecticides	0	0	0	4	6
Herbicides	0	0	0	20	35
Irrigation	0	0	13	113	225
Electric energy	0	0	1	8	10
TOTAL INPUTS	72	127	233	747	877
TOTAL OUTPUTS	752	752	853	2032	2960
OUTPUTS: INPUTS	10.5	5.9	3.7	2.7	3.4



Environmental dimension

The new food production model

Ways to Achieve:

(a) by transforming existing equipment,
(b) re-evaluating product prescriptions,
(c) assessing new biodegradable packaging materials,
(d) staff training in energy management



Reduction of <u>energy consumption</u> and of the gas emissions (<u>carbon footprint</u>)

The Paris <u>Climate Change Agreement</u> is the first ever universal, legally binding global climate deal.

It was signed on <u>22 April 2016</u> and ratified by the European Union on <u>5 October 2016</u>.

http://www.consilium.europa.eu/el/policies/cli mate-change/timeline/



Environmental dimension

Questions for carbon footprint

- What contributes to the carbon footprint of an agricultural product?
- Why is it important to know?
- How is it calculated?



Environmental dimension



Carbon Footprint of Crops Includes the following sources of GHGs:

- •Direct soil N₂O emissions (animals, manure)
- Indirect soil N₂O emissions (fertilizers)
- Soil carbon change
- $\cdot CO_2$ fertilizer and machinery manufacturing
- $\cdot CO_2$ field operations
- $\cdot CO_2$ on-farm transport







<u>Carbon footprint' of beef cattle</u>

Source of GHG emissions



Questions for carbon footprint

- What contributes to the carbon footprint of an agricultural product?
- Why is it important to know?
- How is it calculated?

Atmospheric GHG concentrations are increasing



Global greenhouse gas emissions, per type of gas and source, including LULUCF

Source: EDGAR v5.0/v4.3.2 FT 2017 (EC-JRC/PBL, 2018); Houghton and Nassikas (2017)

Global mean temperature change relative to 1951-1980



Questions for carbon footprint

- What contributes to the carbon footprint of an agricultural product?
- Why is it important to know?
- How is it calculated?

Carbon Dioxide Equivalents Always, estimates of carbon footprint are expressed in terms of a mass of 'carbon dioxide equivalents' or kg CO_2 e per unit of Product:

$CO_2 - e = CO_2 \times 1 + CH_4 \times 25 + N_2O \times 298$

 CO_2 -equivalents allows different GHGs to be compared relative to CO_2 , using their 'Global Warming Potential' (GWP), which accounts for their capacity to absorb radiation and their residence time in the atmosphere.

Indicator= CO₂-e/Product

Concerns about the use of the term carbon footprint:

- as carbon labeling for agricultural products
- for allocation of environmental burdens
- as a tool for decision making

Carbon labeling for agricultural products is gaining importance

Will consumers be affected consumers by the carbon labels? YES!!

"Generally, consumers which are received appropriate guidance about embodied carbon emissions, they may purchasing green-labelled goods"

As scientists, we have an obligation to provide the best information possible to ensure that product statements are credible and defensible



Concerns about the use of the term carbon footprint:

- as carbon labeling for agricultural products
- for allocation of environmental burdens
- as a tool for decision making

Carbon footprint of beef cattle in the EU



Concerns about the use of the term carbon footprint:

- as carbon labeling for agricultural products
- for allocation of environmental burdens
- as a tool for decision making

Literature from our team in the laboratory

- 1. Kaltsas AM, **AP Mamolos**, CA Tsatsarelis, GD Nanos, KL Kalburtji 2007. Energy budget in organic and conventional olive groves. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment* 122:243-251.
- 2. Kavargiris SE, **AP Mamolos**, CA Tsatsarelis, AE Nikolaidou, KL Kalburtji 2009 Energy resources' utilization in organic and conventional vine yards: energy flow, global warming potential and biofuel production. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 33:1239-1250.
- 3. Litskas VD, **AP Mamolos**, KL Kalburtji, CA Tsatsarelis, E Kiose-Kampasakali 2011. Energy flow and greenhouse gas emissions in organic and conventional sweet cherry orchards located in or close to Natura 2000 sites. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 35:1302-1310.
- 4. Michos MC, **AP Mamolos**, GC Menexes, CA Tsatsarelis, VM Tsirakoglou, KL Kalburtji 2012. Energy inputs, outputs and greenhouse gas emissions in organic, integrated and conventional peach orchards. *Ecological Indicators* 13(1): 22-28.
- 5. Zafiriou P, **AP Mamolos**, GC Menexes, AS Siomos, CA Tsatsarelis, KL Kalburtji 2012. Analysis of energy flow and greenhouse gas emissions in organic, integrated and conventional cultivation of white asparagus by PCA and HCA: cases in Greece. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 29-30: 20-27.
- 6. Litskas VD, CS Karaolis, GC Menexes, **AP Mamolos**, TM Koutsos, KL Kalburtji 2013. Variation of energy flow and greenhouse gas emissions in vineyards located in Natura 2000 sites. *Ecological Indicators* 27:1-7.
- 7. Kehagias MC, MC Michos, GC Menexes, **AP Mamolos**, CA Tsatsarelis, CD Anagnostopoulos, KL Kalburtji 2015. Energy equilibrium and CO2, CH4, and N2O-emissions in organic, integrated and conventional apple orchards related to Natura 2000 site. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 91:89-95.
- 8. Taxidis, ET, GC Menexes, **AP Mamolos**, CA Tsatsarelis, CD Anagnostopoulos, KL Kalburtji 2015. Comparing organic and conventional olive groves relative to energy use and greenhouse gas emissions associated with the cultivation of two varieties. *Applied Energy* 149:117-124.
- 9. Michos MC, GC Menexes, KL Kalburtji, CA Tsatsarelis, CD Anagnostopoulos, **AP Mamolos** 2017. Could energy flow in agroecosystems be used as a "tool" for crop and farming system replacement? *Ecological Indicators* 73:247-253.
- 10. Michos MC, GC Menexes, **AP Mamolos**, CA Tsatsarelis, CD Anagnostopoulos, AD Tsaboula, KL Kalburtji 2018. Energy flow, carbon and water footprints in vineyards and orchards to determine environmentally favourable sites in accordance with Natura 2000 perspective. *Journal of Cleaner production* 187:400-408.
- 11. Platis DP, CD Anagnostopoulos, AD Tsaboula, GC Menexes, KL Kalburtji, **AP Mamolos** 2019. Energy Analysis, and Carbon and Water Footprint for Environmentally Friendly Farming Practices in Agroecosystems and Agroforestry. *Sustainability* 11, 1664.



Generally, the human need to produce more food with less GHG

This decrease in emissions intensity has been possible through: a) the breeding of higher yielding, b) more productive animals, c) improved crop production, d) feeding of leguminous crops, e) the adoption of improved land management practices.

Many of these gains in productivity have not yet been achieved in the developing countries, where significant increases in productivity and decreases in emissions intensity can be achieved.

Practices especially for the plant production, that contribute to reducing GHG are:

- a) Rotation programs
- b) Use of varieties adapted to new climatic conditions
- c) Conversion of intensive agriculture into alternative forms
- d) Reduction of taxes on certified producers following alternative forms of agriculture

Why climate-smart agriculture?





Agriculture as a productive and developmental sector

It should not be at the expense of natural resources and environmental quality characteristics

In this direction

the use of indigenous plant genetic material and the proper use of energy resources could help to contribute to reducing greenhouse gas emissions

Dr. Barry Commoner' in his book The Closing Circle: Nature, Man and Technology Five basic aspects of Agroecology 1. Everything is connected to everything else 2. Everything must go somewhere 3. Everything is always changing 4. There is no such thing as a free lunch 5. Everything has limits (i.e., resources are finite) Nature does nothing neither incomplete nor unfair (Aristotle, 340 BC) Η φύσις μηδέν μήτε ατελές ποιεί μήτε μάτην

Thank you

