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## Motivation

- Rise of e-Commerce increased competitive pressure among retailers in various industries (e.g., Srinivasan 2002, J. Retail.).
- What is the degree of substitutability between B\&M stores and e-Commerce from the viewpoint of consumers? (e.g., analyzed by Brynjilfson, Hu and Rahman 2009, Mansci)
- Empirical analysis on the German book market
- Books constitute case of a horizontally and vertically differentiated good with relatively pronounced uncertainty prior to purchase
- "Service Provision" of B\&M retailers
- advice, expert opinion (e.g., Hilger, Rafert and villas-Boas 2011, REStat for wine market; Reinstein and Snyder 2005, JIE for movie industry)
- impulse purchases (e.g., Burt 2003, Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services)
- showrooms (Bell, Gallino and Moreno 2017, Mansci)
- reputable dealership (Marvel and McCafferty 1990, RAND)
- e-Commerce
- price search (Tang, Smith and Montgomery 2010, IJIO)
- low inconvenience costs
- General problem: Free-riding (Telser 1960, JLE) and limited attention in e-Commerce.


## e-Commerce vs. B\&M retailers



- If (some) consumers prefer B\&M retailers over e-Commerce: increase in the number of $B \& M$ retailers has a positive impact on demand $\rightarrow$ empirical question
- We find evidence that this is indeed the case $\rightarrow$ e-Commerce and B\&M retailers are no perfect substitutes.
- Result has consequences for evaluation of fixed book price systems (FBP).


## Discussion: Fixed book prices



## Discussion: Fixed book prices

- Number of bookstores is higher with FBP (Dearrley and Feather 2002 Publishing ressearch quarterly, Davies et al. 2004 DTI economics paper No. 9)
- We find that an increase in number of bookstores has positive effect on demand
- Hence, positive influence of FBP on book demand possible via number of bookstores
- Economic question: net effect of FBP?
- Political question?
- More readers with high number of bookstores, higher prices? $\rightarrow$ heavy book readers?
- Cheaper books?
- General concern: which books become cheaper? Only bestsellers (Fishwick 2008, International Journal of the Economics of Business)?


## Data

- Evolution, locations and size of B\&M retail stores in Germany, taken from the (historical) list of members of the German Publishers and Booksellers Association.
- Sales data is provided by the market research company MediaControl that tracks book sales in almost real time. It comprises data of
- pure B\&M retailers,
- chain stores (w/ e-Commerce), and,
- online retail, including Amazon.
- Consumer survey data (GfK)
- Contains information on e-Book sales of some 20,000 consumers
- Allows for an estimation of e-Book sales and revenue
- Data available on a monthly basis and on a federal state level.
- 9 different product groups: fiction, non-fiction, guide-books, school books, social sciences, natural sciences, humanities, travel, children books.
- January, 2011 to December, 2017.
- $1176 \times 10$ observations.


## Number of B\&M retailers in Germany



## GfK Consumer Panel - "market share" of different formats (volume)



- Common share of e-Books and audiobooks taken together is at most about $14 \%$.


## Sales: Yearly aggregates

| year | Scanner data |  |  | e-Book (estimate) |  | Total (estimate) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | revenue | quantity | revenue | quantity | revenue | quantity |  |
| 2011 | 3607.2 | 291.6 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 2012 | 3570.8 | 282.8 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 2013 | 3484.2 | 273.5 | - | 19.9 | 3538.7 | 287.7 |  |
| 2014 | 3374.4 | 267.8 | 164.3 | 174.5 | 21.9 | 3561.2 |  |
| 2015 | 3386.7 | 266.5 | 182.1 | 22.9 | 288.4 |  |  |
| 2016 | 3516.8 | 265.2 | 177.8 | 23.7 | 3647.5 | 288.1 |  |
| 2017 | 3469.7 | 257.8 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sales: Monthly aggregates



## Empirical analysis

- Hypothesis: There is no correlation between sales and the number of $B \& M$ stores retailers.
- Econometric caveats
- Endogeneity of sales and number of outlets $\rightarrow$ IV-Approach using the labor force as instrument.
- Intra-year trends $\rightarrow$ Estimate in differences and compare sales to sales in the same month last year.


## Empirical analysis w/o e-Books or audiobooks

- Regression
- 1st stage

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { grwstores }_{i, t}=\delta_{1} X_{t}^{\prime}+\delta_{2} \triangle \text { pop }_{i, t}+\delta_{3} \triangle \text { gtrends }_{i, t}+\alpha_{i}+\triangle \varepsilon_{i, t} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- 2nd stage

$$
\begin{equation*}
\triangle \text { quantity }_{i, t}=\beta_{1} X_{t}^{\prime}+\beta_{2} \text { grwstores }_{i, t}^{\text {fit }}+\beta_{3} \triangle \text { gtrends }_{i, t}+\alpha_{i}+\Delta u_{i, t} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Variables
- grwstores $_{i, t}=\frac{\left(\text { bookstores }_{i, t}-\text { bookstores }_{i, t-12}\right)}{\text { bookstores }_{i, t-12}}$
- $X_{t}^{\prime}$ - vector of dummy variables for each year of the observation period
- $\triangle g$ trends $_{i, t}$ - Google Trends index
- $\alpha_{i}$ - Time-invariant dummy variables for the federal states
- quantity $_{i, t}$ - number of sold books per capita/sales force
- grwstores ${ }_{i, t}^{\mathrm{fit}}$ - fitted values from the first stage regression
- $i$ - federal state; $t$ - month


## Google Trends search volume index for the topic "book"



## Main estimation with physical book sales per capita as dependent variable

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All books | Fiction | Nonfiction |
| grwstores | $1.124^{* * *}$ | $0.543^{* * *}$ | 0.168*** |
|  | (0.291) | (0.0899) | (0.0274) |
| 2013 | 0.00114 | -0.0147*** | $0.00194 *$ |
|  | (0.00768) | (0.00252) | (0.000773) |
| 2014 | $0.0465^{* * *}$ | $0.00989^{* * *}$ | $0.00953^{* * *}$ |
|  | (0.0100) | (0.00277) | (0.000860) |
| 2015 | $0.0275^{* * *}$ | 0.00919*** | $0.00697^{* * *}$ |
|  | (0.00617) | (0.00204) | (0.000680) |
| 2016 | 0.00573 | 0.00609* | -0.0000525 |
|  | (0.00913) | (0.00250) | (0.000969) |
| 2017 | 0.00381 | 0.00328 | 0.00234*** |
|  | (0.00567) | (0.00178) | (0.000569) |
| $\triangle$ gtrends | 0.00117 | 0.000354 | 0.000113 |
|  | (0.000893) | (0.000238) | (0.0000817) |
| Anderson-Rubin Wald F-statistic | 14.11 | 40.65 | 35.09 |
| Kleibergen-Paap rk Wald F-statistic | 107.9 | 107.9 | 107.9 |
| \# of observations | 1008 | 1008 | 1008 |

[^0]
## Estimation with total book sales per capita as dependent variable

|  | $(1)$ <br> All books <br> (incl. e-Books) | $0.729^{*}$ <br> $(0.336)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| grwstores | All books <br> (incl. e-Books_grw.) |  |
| 2016 | $-0.0214^{*}$ | $0.722^{*}$ |
|  | $(0.00884)$ | $-0.0230^{* *}$ |
| 2017 | $-0.0222^{* * *}$ | $(0.00861)$ |
| Anderson-Rubin Wald F-statistic | $(0.00659)$ | $-0.0237^{* * *}$ |
| Kleibergen-Paap rk Wald F-statistic | 4.872 | $(0.00623)$ |
| $\#$ of observations | 61.18 | 5.290 |
| Standard errors in parentheses. We apply a 2-step GMM estimation. | 61.18 |  |
| $* p<0.05,{ }^{* *} p<0.01,{ }^{* * *} p<0.001$ | 504 | 504 |

## Results

- Drop in the number of bookstores explains $33.4 \%$ for 2011-2017 of the decrease in physical book sales.
- On average, when a bookstore closed in the period 2011-2017, physical book sales decreased by 8,812 across all categories.
- Incorporating also e-Book sales into our estimations, we find that a decline in the number of bookstores explains 26.5\%-55.6\% for 2014-2017.
- Results indicate that B\&M stores and e-Commerce are no perfect substitutes, i.e., there is no one-for-one substitution when bookstores close.
- Degree of substitutability depends on genres (see also Reinstein and Snyder 2005, JIE wrt. impact of expert opinion on box office sales for different movie genres)
- sales of fiction titles decreased by 4,249 books.
- sales of non-fiction titles decreased by 1,338 books.
- Results also show that about $\sim 23 \%$ of decrease in sales of fiction titles can be explained by closure of bookstores. For non-fiction titles, the value is $\sim 59 \% \rightarrow$ other variables (e.g., changes in leisure activities) have greater impact on sales of fiction titles than for non-fiction titles.


## Appendix: Estimation with GfK consumer survey data only

|  | $(1)$ <br> All books <br> $($ GfK data $)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| grwstores | $1.067^{* *}$ |
|  | $(0.381)$ |
| 2016 | -0.00264 |
|  | $(0.0105)$ |
| 2017 | $-0.0258^{*}$ |
|  | $(0.0111)$ |
| Anderson-Rubin Wald F-statistic | 8.605 |
| Kleibergen-Paap rk Wald F-statistic | 65.20 |
| $\#$ of observations | 576 |
| Standard errors in parentheses. We apply a 2-step GMM estimation. |  |
| $* p<0.05,{ }^{* *} p<0.01,{ }^{* * *} p<0.001$ |  |


[^0]:    Standard errors in parentheses. We apply a 2-step GMM estimation.

    * $p<0.05,{ }^{* *} p<0.01,{ }^{* * *} p<0.001$

